

New York | Los Angeles | Philadelphia | Miami | New Jersey

September 27, 2022

**VIA ECF** 

Hon. Sarah Netburn, U.S.M.J. Thurgood Marshall Courthouse Southern District of New York 40 Foley Square, Room 430 New York, New York 10007

Re: Andrea Toledo v. Unibud Restoration Corp. et al

Case No.: 21-cv-882 (GBD) (SN)

Dear Judge Netburn:

We represent Plaintiff in connection with the above-referenced matter. I write jointly with all parties appearing pursuant to Your Honor's instructions in the order issued on 9/23/2022 (Dkt. #97), to provide a status update and inform the Court whether the parties intend to file a motion for summary judgement. This matter has settled between Plaintiff and Defendant Unibud. However, Brend refuses to sign a Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) stipulation of dismissal, therefore Plaintiff and Defendant Unibud jointly request that this matter be dismissed *solely as to* Defendant Unibud, without prejudice and without cost and expenses pursuant to Rule 41(a)(2). The case currently proceeds against the Brend defendants. Both Plaintiff and Brend intend to file respective motions for summary judgement. Brend requests that the motions be due by October 21, 2022.

Very truly yours,

Dated: September 27, 2022 New York, New York

Respectfully submitted,

DEREK SMITH LAW GROUP, PLLC

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Seamus P. Barrett, Esq.